Optimization of Enzyme Immobilization Techniques and Miniaturized Peptide Mapping Devices for Structural Proteomics Applications

K.C. Waldron¹, C. Dartiguenave¹, H. Hamad¹, C. Mikonio², V. Labas² and J. Vinh²

¹ Department of Chemistry, Université de Montréal, Montréal, Québec H3C 3J7, Canada

² Neurobiologie Laboratory, Ecole Supérieure de Physique et de Chimie industrielles-CNRS, 75005 Paris, France

INTRODUCTION

The application of capillary electrophoresis for peptide mapping is illustrated in the analysis of the peptide fragments produced by tryptic digestion of β -casein. Complete enzymatic digestion is usually desired for peptide mapping. The use of enzymes (such as trypsin) with high specificity for a particular type of peptide bond results in a reduction in the number of fragments generated during digestion. Another way to simplify peptide maps and reduce the number of pasks is to use immobilized enzymes. Immobilized enzymes are currently the object of considerable interest due to the expected benefits over soluble enzymes: separation from the product, reuse of the enzyme, facile automation.

The kinetic behavior of a bound enzyme can differ significantly from that of the same enzyme in free solution. These changes may be due to conformational alterations within the enzyme resulting from the improtocol or to the presence and nature of the carrier (support) and linker. Therefore, we studied three immobilization techniques in the following manner:

- 1) immobilized trypsin was characterized in batch form by determination of its kinetic properties using the substrate N-a-p-tosyl-L-arginine methyl ester (TAME);
- 2) each immobilized enzyme preparation was packed in a capillary-based microreactor and the substrate β-casein was digested;
 3) digests were separated by capillary electrophoresis (CE) for comparison of the peptide maps with respect to each immobilization t

4) for one of the immobilization techniques, the reproducibility of peptide maps was evaluated and the maps compared with those obtained in the same type of microreactor that had been packed with commercially available trypsin immobilized using the same linker and support;

5) rapid digestion in this particular microreactor is demonstrated showing CE-based peptide maps as well as MALDI-TOF peptide mass maps

MATERIALS and IMMOBILIZATION TECHNIQUES

2) PACKING AND OPERATION OF THE IMMOBILIZED TRYPSIN MICROREACTOR

Enzyme: bovine trypsin (EC 3.4.21.4) • Endoprotease of 23800 Da (223 residues with 5 disulfide bridges) • TPCK-treated to avoid chymotryptic activity High cleavage specificity (hydrolyses paptide bonds only on the C' side of arginine and lysine residues) and high specific activity Limited stability in solution as the result of autolysis

Carrier: Controlled Pore Glass (CPG)

- rrier: Controlled Pore Glass (CPG) Inorganic support produced from borosilicate-based material with good mechanical strength; immune to biological degradation Thermostable and autoclavable; Inert to changing conditions except at very alkaline pH High surface area (specific surface area = 35 m³/g) thus high ligand coupling yiel; Surface must be derivatized with reactive functional groups for covalent binding Particle size: 125-177 µm (80-120 mesh); narrow pore size distribution; 700 A ave. pore size; specific pore volume of 1.0 ml/g; packed density of 0.41 g/ml kers:









The microreactor was dry-packed with trypsin-CPG beads (~50 mg) under argon pressure and simultaneous sonication in 30 s. The packing system could be constructed in about 2-5 min.



Protein substrate (β -casein was infused through the microreactor by application of approx. 1-2 psi Ar, over a period of 2 hr (or less). The digest was collected in a microtube for mapping by CE.

3) PEPTIDE MAPPING BY CAPILLARY

BATCH CHARACTERIZATION OF 1) IMMOBILIZED TRYPSIN PREPARATIONS

1.1 Optimization of the immobilization



on are 65% but 150 min and 75

For 10 mg of CPG , the best activity is At 60 % i of trypsis lization yield, the am bilized is 4.4 mg/g of







4) EVALUATION OF A TRYPSIN-DITC-CPG MICROREACTOR FOR PEPTIDE MAPPING

4.1 Comparison of two sources of immobilized trypsin



4.2 Reproducibility of CE separations

The same 120 μ L sample (β -casein digest plus microreactor wash) was run 3 times within 24 hr. Migration time reproducibility was \leq 1.02% RSD (n=3) over the elution window. ation conditions: Capillary: 75 μm ID, 363 μm OD, 74 cm total L, 43.5 cm effe . Run: 50 mM phosphate, pH 2.5, injection 0.5 s by vacuum, 15 kV, detecti



4.3 Reproducibility of digestions in the same microreactor

d in the same microreactor same day. Migration time ibility was ≤ 1.6% RSD (n=3) o ion conditione: on the



5) RAPID DIGESTION IN THE TRYPSIN-DITC-CPG MICROREACTOR



Tryptic peptide masses matched (M-H ⁺) using database search (ProFound v. 4.10.5) and corresponding sequence:	Tryptic peptide masses corresponding to possible sodium adducts (M-Na*) :
742.081 GPFPIIV 748.015 EMPFPK 780.093 VLPVPQK 830.173 AVPVPDP	765.133 GPFPIIV 771.033 EMPFPK 803.195 VLPVPQK
1137.469 VKEAMAPKHK 1980.338 FQSEEQQQTEDELQDI	653.156 AVPTPUR



CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE STUDIES

 Enzyme immobilization process required less than 2 h and the microreactor could be constructed in about 30 min including its packing with the trypsin beads Peptide maps of β-casein (5 mg/ml) were obtained with good reproducibility in less than 3 h from sample introduction to map completion.

tess than 5 in tool sample introduction to thap completion. The CE-based analysis of the digast resulted in electropherograms (maps) comparable to those obtained with commercially-immobilized trypsin • Digestion in phosphate buffer impedes MS analysis (low sequence coverage)

We need to evaluate the effective microreactor life-time, influence of substrate concentration and substrate composition on microreactor performance and make quantitative peptide recovery studies

REFERENCES

E. Bonneil, M. Mercier and K.C. Waldron, Anal. Chim. Acta (2000) 404, 29-45 C.E. Hall, D. Datta and E.A.H. Hall, Anal. Chim. Acta (1996) 323, 87-96. V.G. Janolino, and H.E. Swaisgood, Biotechnol. Bioeng. (1982) 24, 1069-1080. J.D. Chapman and H.O. Hullin, Biotechnol. Bioeng. (1975) 17,1783-1795



Like

digestion of 40 µl (β-casein (5 mg.ml*)) (11.48.5 cm Ld 40.0 cm) in 50 mM so

LN Amarkwa and W.G. Kuhr, Anal. Chem. (1993) 65, 2693-2697. H.E. Swalagood in Developments in Dairy Chenesity, (P.F. Fox, Ed.), Elsevier Applied Science, London, (1982) B. Riadeau-Dumas, F. Birgion, F. Croiscaluae and J.C. Mercler, *Eur. J. Bicchem.* (1972) 25, 505-514. L. Goldstein, in Methods in Enzymology⁺, v. XLIV (K. Mostach, Ed.). Academic Press, (1976) 397-450. K.A. Cabb and M. Novolty, Anal. Chem. (1989) 61, 222-2231.

ELECTROPHORESIS

Comparison of peptide maps for each immobilization technique. Sample: 40 μl β-casein (5 mg/m) + 80 μl wash with digestion buffer. Separation: PACE/MDQ CE (Beckman Coutler):fsVi in 50 mM sodium phosphate, pt J-25 Capillay; 75 μm ILD, 360 μm O.D., Lt= 60 cm; Ld = 50 cm Injection: 0.5 psi x 5s; Detection: 200 nm